



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,933	06/26/2003	Koichi Okada	Q75355	3426
23373	7590	03/26/2007		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER JONES, HEATHER RAE	
			ART UNIT 2621	PAPER NUMBER

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/603,933	Applicant(s) OKADA ET AL.	
	Examiner Heather R. Jones	Art Unit 2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/26/2003</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Specification***

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. Page 7, line 4: change "image recording medium 10" to --image recording apparatus 10--.
  - b. Page 7, line 10: change "image recording medium 10" to --image recording apparatus 10--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. If in claim 12 the Applicant is attempting to claim the image recording medium as being the material produced by the method of recording recited in claim 1 respectively, claim 12 is rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. This claim does not fall within an enumerated statutory category; does not cover a 101 judicial exception or a practical application. Thereof, as required in the Interim Guidelines for Examination

published in the OG of November 22, 2005. This claim recites only nonfunctional descriptive material and therefore is nonstatutory.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 3-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchino (U.S. Patent 5,260,573) in view of Statius Miller (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2001/0032101).

Regarding claim 1, Tsuchino discloses a method of recording image data on an image recording medium, comprising the step of: recording information including at least attributes of the image recording medium on the image recording medium in addition to an image recorded on the image recording medium (Fig. 3, col. 5, lines 43-51; col. 5, line 58 – col. 6, line 10 – the recording medium's sensitivity is recorded onto the medium). However, Tsuchino fails to disclose a method of recording image data as a visible image on an image recording medium.

Referring to the Statius Miller, Statius Miller discloses a method of recording image data as a visible image on an image recording medium (Fig. 1, reference characters "711" and "712").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have recorded image data as a visible image on an image recording medium as disclosed by Statius Miller with the method disclosed by Tsuchino in order to allow the user to read the information about the image recording medium easily and without having to use another device to interpret the information for them.

Regarding claim 3, Tsuchino in view of Statius Miller discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the information is recorded in an area of the image recording medium other than the area thereof in which the image is recorded (Tsuchino: Fig. 3; Statius Miller: Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 4, Tsuchino in view of Statius Miller discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the information also includes apparatus information of an apparatus for recording the image on the image recording medium (Tsuchino: col. 5, line 58 – col. 6, line 10 – the ID number representing the X-ray generator is written on the magnetic tape (21)).

Regarding claim 5, Tsuchino in view of Statius Miller discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the information is recorded as visible information on the image recording medium (Statius Miller: Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 6, Tsuchino in view of Statius Miller discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claims 1 and 5 as well as disclosing that the method further comprises the steps of: converting the information into a predetermined display pattern; and recording the predetermined display pattern on the image recording medium (Statius Miller: Fig. 1 – bar code information).

Regarding claims 7-10, this is a method claim corresponding to the apparatus claims 1, 3, 5, and 6. Therefore, the claim is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1, 3, 5, and 6.

Regarding claim 12, this is a medium claim corresponding to the apparatus claim 1. Therefore, the claim is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

6. Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchino in view of Statius Miller as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Deguchi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,133,984).

Regarding claim 2, Tsuchino in view of Statius Miller discloses all the limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 including that the image data is recorded as the visible image on the image recording medium (Statius Miller: Fig. 1, reference characters "711" and "712"). However, Tsuchino in view of Statius Miller fail to disclose that the image recording medium is removed from a package of a plurality of image recording mediums, and wherein

the information including the attributes of the image recording medium comprises information indicated on at least the package.

Referring to the Deguchi et al. reference, Deguchi et al. discloses that the image recording medium is removed from a package of a plurality of image recording mediums, and wherein the information including attributes of the image recording medium comprises information indicated on at least the package (col. 5, lines 12-17 – the package contains information concerning the type of sensitivity and the size of the recording medium).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have removed the image recording medium from a package that contained a label on it describing the image recording medium as disclosed by Deguchi et al. and printing that information from the package onto the image recording medium like Tsuchino in view of Statius Miller prints the attribute information of the image recording medium onto the image recording medium in order to allow the user to correctly correspond the image recording medium with the package it was taken from in order to allow the user to recreate similar images using the same product again.

Regarding claim **11**, this is a method claim corresponding to the apparatus claim 2. Therefore, the claim is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 2.

**Conclusion**

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Shih et al. (U.S. Patent 6,674,923) discloses printing a bar code along with visible information on one of the parameters surrounding the image area.
- b. Takasawa (U.S. Patent 6,542,579) discloses printing x-rays along with the apparatus information that captured the image.
- c. Kanome et al. (U.S. Patent 6,457,799) discloses printing x-rays with an ID number or the like and a bar showing an image preservation determination portion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather R. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-7368. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs.: 7:00 am - 4:30 pm, and every other Fri.: 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.




Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heather R Jones  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2621

HRJ  
March 16, 2007

  
**James J. Groody**  
**Supervisory Patent Examiner**  
**Art Unit 2621**